

ON THE LUSTERNIK-SCHNIRELMANN CATEGORY OF SPACES WITH 2-DIMENSIONAL FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

ALEXANDER N. DRANISHNIKOV

ABSTRACT. The following inequality

$$\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq \text{cat}_{\text{LS}} Y + \left\lceil \frac{hd(X) - r}{r + 1} \right\rceil$$

holds for every locally trivial fibration between ANE spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$ which admits a section and has the r -connected fiber where $hd(X)$ is the homotopical dimension of X . We apply this inequality to prove that

$$\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq \left\lceil \frac{\dim X - 1}{2} \right\rceil + cd(\pi_1(X))$$

for every complex X with $cd(\pi_1(X)) \leq 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [DKR] we proved that if the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of a closed n -manifold, $n \geq 3$, equals 2 then the fundamental group of M is free. In opposite direction we proved that if the fundamental group of an n -manifold is free, then $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} M \leq n - 2$. Then J. Strom proved that $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq \frac{2}{3}n$ for every n -complex, $n > 4$, with free fundamental group [St]. Yu. Rudyak suggested that the coefficient $2/3$ in Strom's result should be improved to $1/2$. Precisely, he conjectured that the function f defined as $f(n) = \max\{\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} M^n\}$ is asymptotically $\frac{1}{2}n$ where the maximum is taken over all closed n -manifolds with free fundamental group.

In this paper we prove Rudyak's conjecture. Our method gives the same estimate for n -complexes. Moreover, it gives the same asymptotic upper bound for cat_{LS} of n -complexes with the fundamental group of cohomological dimension ≤ 2 . In view of this the following generalization of Rudyak's conjecture seems to be natural.

CONJECTURE. *For every k the function f_k defined as*

$$f_k(n) = \max\{\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} M^n \mid cd(\pi_1(M^n)) \leq k\}$$

is asymptotically $\frac{1}{2}n$.

The smallest k when it is unknown is 3.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is an introduction to the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category based on an analogy with the dimension theory. Section 3 contains a version of a fibration theorem for cat_{LS} . In Section 4 this fibration theorem is applied for the proof of Rudyak's conjecture.

2. KOLMOGOROV-OSTRAND'S APPROACH TO THE LUSTERNIK-SCHNIRELmann CATEGORY

An open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ of a topological space X is called *X-contractible* if each U_i can be contracted to a point in X . By the definition $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq n$ if there is an X -contractible cover of X by $n+1$ elements.

We recall [CLOT] that a sequence $\emptyset = O_0 \subset O_1 \subset \cdots \subset O_{n+1} = X$ is called *categorical of length $n+1$* if each difference $O_{i+1} \setminus O_i$ is contained in an open set that contracts to a point. It was proven in [CLOT] that $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq n$ if and only if X admits a categorical sequence of length $n+1$.

Let \mathcal{U} be a family of open set in a topological space X . The multiplicity of \mathcal{U} (or the order) at a point $x \in X$, $\text{Ord}_x \mathcal{U}$ is the number of elements of \mathcal{U} that contain x . The multiplicity of \mathcal{U} is defined as $\text{Ord} \mathcal{U} = \sup_{x \in X} \text{Ord}_x \mathcal{U}$. We recall that *the covering dimension of a topological space X does not exceed n , $\dim X \leq n$, if for every open cover \mathcal{C} of X there is an open refinement \mathcal{U} with $\text{Ord} \mathcal{U} \leq n+1$* .

The following proposition makes the LS-category analogous to the covering dimension.

2.1. Proposition. *For a paracompact topological space X , $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq n$ if and only if X admits an X -contractible locally finite open cover \mathcal{V} with $\text{Ord} \mathcal{V} \leq n+1$.*

Proof. If $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq n$ then by the definition X admits an open contractible cover that consists of $n+1$ elements and therefore its multiplicity is at most $n+1$.

Let \mathcal{V} be a contractible cover of X of multiplicity $\leq n+1$. We construct a categorical sequence $O_0 \subset O_1 \subset \cdots \subset O_{n+1}$ of length $n+1$. We define $O_1 = \{x \in X \mid \text{Ord}_x \mathcal{V}\} = n+1$. Note that $O_1 = \bigcup_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}} V_0 \cap \cdots \cap V_n$. Note that this is the disjoint union and every nonempty summand is X -contractible. Thus O_1 is X -contractible. Next, we define $O_2 = \{x \in X \mid \text{Ord}_x \mathcal{V} \geq n\}$. Then $O_2 \setminus O_1 = \text{cup}_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}} (V_1 \cap \cdots \cap V_n \setminus O_1)$ is a disjoint union of closed in O_2 subsets. Since this family is locally finite, we can take open (in O_2 and hence in X) disjoint neighborhoods of these summands $V_1 \cap \cdots \cap V_n \setminus O_1$ such that each of

which is contained in an open contractible set from \mathcal{V} . Define $O_3 = \{x \in X \mid \text{Ord}_x \geq n-1\}$ as the union of $n-1$ -fold intersections and so on. O_{n+1} is the union of elements of \mathcal{V} (1-fold intersections) and hence $O_{n+1} = X$. Clearly, for every k , $O_{k+1} \setminus O_k$ is the union of disjoint sets each of which is contained in an element of \mathcal{V} . Thus, the categorical sequence conditions are satisfied. \square

A family \mathcal{U} of subsets of X is called a k -cover, $k \in N$ if every subfamily of k elements form a cover of X . The following is obvious.

2.2. Proposition. *A family \mathcal{U} of m -elements is an $(n+1)$ -cover of X if and only if $\text{Ord}_x \mathcal{U} \geq m-n$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. If $\text{Ord}_x \mathcal{U} < m-n$ for some $x \in X$, then $n+1 = m - (m-n) + 1$ elements of \mathcal{U} do not cover x .

If $n+1$ elements of \mathcal{U} do not cover some x , then $\text{Ord}_x \mathcal{U} \leq m - (n+1) < m-n$. \square

Inspired by the work of Kolmogorov on Hilbert's 13 problem Ostrand gave the following characterization of the covering dimension [Os].

2.3. Theorem (Ostrand). *A metric space X is of dimension $\leq n$ if and only if for each open cover \mathcal{C} of X and each integer $m \geq n+1$, there exist m discrete families of open sets $\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_k$ such that their union $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_i$ is an $(n+1)$ -cover of X .*

Let \mathcal{U} be a family of subsets in X and let $A \subset X$. We denote by $\mathcal{U}|_A = \{U \cap A \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$.

2.4. Definition. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. An open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_0, U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ of X is called *uniformly f -contractible* if it satisfies the property that for every $y \in Y$ there is a neighborhood V such that the restriction $\mathcal{U}|_{f^{-1}(V)}$ of \mathcal{U} to the preimage $f^{-1}(V)$ consists of X -contractible sets.

2.5. Theorem. *Let $\{U'_0, \dots, U'_n\}$ be an open cover of a normal topological space X . Then there is an infinite open $(n+1)$ -cover of X , $\{U_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ such that $U_k = U'_k$ for $k \leq n$ and $U_k = \bigcup_{i=0}^n V_i$ is the disjoint union with $V_i \subset U_i$ for $k > n$.*

In particular, if $\{U'_0, \dots, U'_n\}$ is X -contractible, the cover $\{U_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is X -contractible. If $\{U'_0, \dots, U'_n\}$ is uniformly f -contractible for some $f : X \rightarrow Y$, the cover $\{U_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is uniformly f -contractible.

Proof. By induction on m we construct the family $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^m$. For $m = n$ we take $U_i = U'_i$.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_0, \dots, U_m\}$ be the corresponding family for $m \geq n$. Consider $Y = \{x \in X \mid \text{Ord}_x \mathcal{U} \leq m-n\}$. In view of Proposition 2.2 and

the assumption, it is a closed subset. We show that for every $i \leq n$, the set $Y \cap U_i$ is closed in X . Let x be a limit point of $Y \cap U_i$ that does not belong to U_i . Let $U_{i_0}, \dots, U_{i_{m-n}}$ be the elements that contain x . Then $\text{Ord}_y \mathcal{U} = m - n + 1$ for all $y \in Y \cap U_i \cap U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_{m-n}}$. Contradiction.

We define recursively $F_0 = Y \cap U_1$ and $F_{i+1} = Y \cap U_{i+1} \setminus (\bigcup_{k=0}^i U_i)$. Note that $\{F_i\}$ is a disjoint finite family of closed subsets. We fix disjoint open neighborhoods V_i of F_i with $V_i \subset U_i$. We define $U_{m+1} = \bigcup_i V_i$. In view of Proposition 2.2, U_1, \dots, U_m, U_{m+1} is an $(n+1)$ -cover.

Clearly, if all U_i are X -contractible, $i \leq n$, then U_{m+1} is X -contractible. If all U_i are uniformly f -contractible, for some $f : X \rightarrow Y$, then U_{m+1} is uniformly f -contractible. \square

2.6. Corollary. For a normal topological space X , $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq n$ if and only if for any $m > n$ X admits an open $(n+1)$ -cover by X -contractible sets.

This corollary is a cat_{LS} -analog of Ostrand's theorem. It also can be found in [CLOT] with further reference to [Cu].

3. FIBRATION THEOREMS FOR cat_{LS}

3.1. Definition. The $*$ -category $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}}^* f$ of a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is the minimal n , if exists, such that there is a uniformly f -contractible open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_0, U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ of X .

Note that $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}}^* c = \text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X$ for a constant map $c : X \rightarrow pt$. More generally, $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}}^* \pi = \text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X$ for the projection $\pi : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$.

3.2. Theorem. *The inequality $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq \dim Y + \text{cat}_{\text{LS}}^* f$ holds true for any continuous map.*

Proof. The requirements to the spaces in the theorem are that the Ostrand theorem holds true for Y , i.e. are fairly general (say, Y is normal).

Let $\dim Y = n$ and $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}}^* f = m$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_0, \dots, U_m\}$ be a uniformly f -contractible cover. For all $y \in Y$ denote by V_y a neighborhood of y from the definition of the uniform f -contractibility. In view of Ostrand's characterization of dimension there is a refinement $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_0 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{V}_{n+m}$ of the cover $\{V_y \mid y \in Y\}$ such that each family \mathcal{V}_i is disjoint. Let $V_i = \bigcup \mathcal{V}_i$. We apply Theorem 2.5 to extend the family \mathcal{U} to a family $\{U_0, \dots, U_{n+m}\}$. Consider the family $\mathcal{W} = \{f^{-1}(V_i) \cap U_i\}$. Note that it is contractible in X . We show that it is a cover of X . According to the Ostrand theorem every $y \in Y$ is covered by $m+1$ elements V_{i_0}, \dots, V_{i_m} . By Theorem 2.5 the family U_{i_0}, \dots, U_{i_m} covers the fiber $f^{-1}(y)$. \square

3.3. Corollary (Corollary 9.35 [CLOT], [OW]). Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed map of ANE . If each fiber $p^{-1}(y)$ is contractible in X , then $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq \dim Y$.

Proof. In this case $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}}^* p = 0$. Indeed, since X is an ANE , a contraction of $p^{-1}(y)$ to a point can be extended to a neighborhood U . Since the map p is closed there is a neighborhood V of y such that $p^{-1}(V) \subset U$. \square

We recall that the *homotopical dimension* of a space X , $hd(X)$, is the minimal dimension of a CW -complex homotopy equivalent to X [CLOT].

The elementary obstruction theory implies the following.

3.4. Proposition. *Let $p : E \rightarrow X$ be a fibration with $n - 1$ -connected fiber where $n = hd(X)$. Then p admits a section.*

We recall that $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer n such that $x \leq n$.

3.5. Theorem. *Suppose that a locally trivial fibration $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with an r -connected fiber F admits a section. Then*

$$\text{cat}_{\text{LS}}^* f \leq \left\lceil \frac{hd(X) - r}{r + 1} \right\rceil.$$

Moreover,

$$\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq \text{cat}_{\text{LS}} Y + \left\lceil \frac{hd(X) - r}{r + 1} \right\rceil.$$

Proof. Let $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} Y = m$ and $hd(X) = n$.

Let $s : Y \rightarrow X$ be a section. We apply the fiber-wise Serre construction to f with this $s(y)$ as the base points. Then we apply the fiber-wise Ganea construction to obtain a map $\xi_k : E_k \rightarrow X$. Namely,

$$E_0 = \{\phi \in X^I \mid |f(\phi(I))| = 1, \phi(0) = sf\phi(I)\}$$

with $\xi_0(\phi) = \phi(1)$ and

$$E_{k+1} = \{\phi * \psi \in E_0 * E_k \mid \xi_0(\phi) = \xi_k(\psi)\}$$

with the natural projection $\xi_{k+1} : E_{k+1} \rightarrow X$. Note that ξ_k is a Hurewicz fibration with the fiber the join product $*^{k+1}\Omega F$ of $k + 1$ copies of the loop space ΩF . Thus, it is $(k + (k + 1)r - 1)$ -connected. By Proposition 3.4 there is a section $\sigma : X \rightarrow E_k$ whenever $k(r + 1) + r \geq n$. The smallest such k is equal to $\lceil \frac{gcd(X) - r}{r + 1} \rceil$.

For each $x \in X$ the element $\sigma(x)$ of $*_k\Omega F$ can be presented as the $(k + 1)$ -tuple

$$\sigma(x) = ((\phi_0, t_0), \dots, (\phi_k, t_k)) \mid \sum t_i = 1, t_i \geq 0).$$

We use the notation $\sigma(x)_i = t_i$. Clearly, $\sigma(x)_i$ is a continuous function.

A section $\sigma : X \rightarrow E_k$ defines a cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_0, \dots, U_k\}$ of X as follows:

$$U_i = \{x \in X \mid \sigma(x)_i > 0\}.$$

Let $\{U_0, \dots, U_{m+k}\}$ be an extension of \mathcal{U} to an $(k+1)$ -cover of X from Theorem 2.5.

Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_0, \dots, V_{m+k}\}$ be an open Y -contractible $(m+1)$ -cover of Y . We show that the sets $W_i = f^{-1}(V_i) \cap U_i$ are contractible in X for all i . By the construction of U_i for $i \leq n$ for every $x \in U_i$ there is a canonical path connecting x with $sf(x)$. We use these paths to contract $f^{-1}(V_i) \cap U_i$ to $s(V_i)$ in X . Then we apply a contraction of $s(V_i)$ to a point in $s(Y)$. If $i > k$, we apply the last condition of the first part of Theorem 2.5.

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we show that $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^{m+k}$ is a cover of X . Since \mathcal{V} is an $(m+1)$ -cover, by Proposition 2.2 every $y \in Y$ is covered by at least $k+1$ elements V_{i_0}, \dots, V_{i_k} of \mathcal{V} . By the construction U_{i_0}, \dots, U_{i_k} is a cover of X . Hence W_{i_0}, \dots, W_{i_k} covers $f^{-1}(y)$. \square

4. THE LUSTERNIK-SCHNIRELMANN CATEGORY OF COMPLEXES WITH LOW DIMENSIONAL FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS

4.1. Theorem. *For every complex X with $cd(\pi_1(X)) \leq 2$ the following inequality holds true:*

$$\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq cd(\pi_1(X)) + \left\lceil \frac{hd(X) - 1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Proof. Let $\pi = \pi_1(X)$. We consider Borel's construction

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{X} & \longleftarrow & \tilde{X} \times E\pi & \longrightarrow & E\pi \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xleftarrow{g} & \tilde{X} \times_{\pi} E\pi & \xrightarrow{f} & B\pi. \end{array}$$

We claim that there is a section $s : B\pi \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_{\pi} E\pi$ of f . By the condition $cd\pi \leq 2$ we may assume that $B\pi$ is a complex of dimension ≤ 3 . Since a fiber of f is simply connected, there is a lift of the 2-skeleton. The condition $cd\pi \leq 2$ implies $H^3(B\pi, E) = 0$ for every π -module. Thus, we have no obstruction for the lift of the 3-skeleton.

We apply Theorem 3.5 to obtain the inequality

$$\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq \text{cat}_{\text{LS}}(B\pi) + \left\lceil \frac{hd(\tilde{X} \times_{\pi} E\pi) - 1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Since g is a fibration with the homotopy trivial fiber, the space $\tilde{X} \times_{\pi} E\pi$ is homotopy equivalent to X . Thus, $hd(\tilde{X} \times_{\pi} E\pi) = hd(X)$. Note that $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} B\pi = cd\pi$. \square

4.2. Corollary. For every complex X with free fundamental group:

$$\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq 1 + \left\lceil \frac{\dim X - 1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Note that this estimate is sharp on $X = S^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^n$.

4.3. Corollary. For every 3-dimensional complex X with free fundamental group: $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq 2$.

This Corollary can be also derived from the fact that in the case of free fundamental group every 2-complex is homotopy equivalent to the wedge of circles and 2-spheres [KR].

It is unclear whether the estimate $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} X \leq 2 + \lceil \frac{\dim X - 1}{2} \rceil$ is sharp for complexes with $cd(\pi_1(X)) = 2$. It is sharp when the answer to the following question is affirmative.

4.4. Question. Does there exist a 4-complex K with free fundamental group and with $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}}(K \times S^1) = 4$?

Indeed, for $X = K \times S^1$ we would have the equality $4 = 2 + \lceil \frac{5-1}{2} \rceil$. Note that $cd(\pi_1(X)) = 2$. There is a connection between this question and the Problem 1.5 from [DKR] which asks whether $\text{cat}_{\text{LS}} M^4 \leq 2$ for closed 4-manifolds with free fundamental group.

REFERENCES

- [CLOT] Cornea, O.; Lupton, G.; Oprea, J.; Tanré, D.: Lusternik-Schnirelmann category. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, **103**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [Cu] Cuvilliez, M.: LS-catégorie et k -monomorphisme. Thèse, Université Catholique de Louvain, 1998.
- [DKR] Dranishnikov, A; Katz, M.; Rudyak, Y.: Small values of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category and systolic categories for manifolds. Preprint, (2007). [arXiv:0706.1625math.AT](https://arxiv.org/abs/0706.1625)
- [KR] Katz, M.; Rudyak, Y.: Lusternik-Schnirelmann category and systolic category of low dimensional manifolds. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **59** (2006), 1433-1456. See [arXiv:math.DG/0410456](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.DG/0410456)
- [OW] Oprea, J. and Walsh, J. Quotient maps, group actions and Lusternik-Schnirelmann category, *Topology Appl.*, 117 (2002), 285-305.
- [Os] Ostrand, Ph. : Dimension of metric spaces and Hilbert's problem 13, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 71 1965, 619-622.
- [St] Strom, J.: Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of spaces with free fundamental group. *Preprint*, (2007).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, 358 LITTLE HALL,
GAINESVILLE, FL 32611-8105, USA

E-mail address: dranish@math.ufl.edu